UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,954	08/26/2003	David K. Okuley	HON-14810	3723	
27504 RANKIN HII.	7590 04/27/2007 L, PORTER & CLARK	EXAMINER			
4080 ERIE STREET			COZART, JERMIE E		
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7836			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/647,954	OKULEY, DAVID K.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jermie Cozart	3726	

201010 till 1 1111.g 01 till 1 1pp ott 21101	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jermie Cozart	3726					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 706.07(f).  ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	i E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 18-28.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6-11,14 and 15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,12 and 13</u> .							
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Samie &	S. CMZ				
		JERMIE E. PRIMARY E	COZART (AMINER				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 changes the scope of claim 1 because "the actuation structure" prior to the final rejection was merely recited in the claim as a functional recitation, "wherein an end portion of the bar is adapted to engage the actuation structure" and not part of the holding device, however, the present amendment proposes to make "the actuation structure" a positive limitation and also part of the holding device which thereby changes the scope of claim 1 and its dependents which raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.